

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 09-C-506

WEALTH MANAGEMENT, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER FOR RESPONSES TO RECEIVER'S REIMBURSEMENT REQUEST

In connection with (a) the Fourth Interim Application for Allowance and Payment of Compensation to, and for Reimbursement of Expenses of, (I) Faye B. Feinstein, Receiver for Wealth Management LLC and the Relief Defendants, and (II) Quarles and Brady LLP, as Counsel to the Receiver, for the Period from June 1, 2010, through May 31, 2011 (the "Receiver Application") and (b) the Second Interim Application for Allowance and Payment of Compensation to, and Reimbursement of Expenses of, Alan D. Lasko & Associates, P.C., Accountants to the Receiver for Wealth Management LLC and the WM Funds, for the Period July 6, 2010 through June 30, 2011 (the "Lasko Application", and, with the Receiver Application, the "Applications", and each, an "Application"); all capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the appropriate Application), the Court finding that good cause exists to establish a schedule and procedure governing the filing of objections, if any, to the Applications and the setting of a hearing date, if any, with regard to the Applications,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. Objections, if any, to either or both of the Applications must be in writing and must be filed with the Court and served upon the Receiver, as provided below, on or before the **thirtieth (30th)** day after entry of this Scheduling Order on the docket of this case (the "Objection Deadline").
2. Objections must be served upon the Receiver so as to be received on or before the Objection Deadline and may be served (a) electronically, through the Court's CM/ECF System; or (b) by mail, overnight delivery, or facsimile transmission, c/o Christopher Combest, Quarles & Brady LLP, 300 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 4000, Chicago, Illinois 60654; FAX: 312-715-5155.
3. If no objection to an Application is filed on or before the Objection Deadline, the Court may grant the Application without a hearing.
4. If one or more objections to an Application are filed on or before the Objection Deadline, the Court will determine whether or not a hearing is necessary before it rules on that Application.
5. If a hearing on an Application is scheduled, a separate notice of the date and time of that hearing will be served by the Receiver.

SO ORDERED this 4th day of August, 2011.

s/ William C. Griesbach
William C. Griesbach
United States District Judge